

Towards Object Oriented System Development

A Lecture on System Development Course

Avinanta Tarigan

Magister of Information System Management
Gunadarma University



- 1 System Theory
- 2 Buzzword: Object Oriented
- 3 System Behavior Analysis & Design
- 4 UML

Outline

- 1 System Theory
- 2 Buzzword: Object Oriented
- 3 System Behavior Analysis & Design
- 4 UML

General System Theory

- Initiated by Ludwig von Bertalanffy



- Followed by Mead and Bateson

- The study of the nature of complex systems in nature, society, and science
- Interdisciplinary study: single organism, any organization or society, or any electro-mechanical or informational artifact
- Cybernetics: study of feedback → control systems



System of Objects

- System consists of objects
 - A group of MLM consists of members
 - An object is something that can be identified
- Every object has relationship(s) with others
 - Hierarchical structure of MLM group
- Objects engage constituting system's behavior
 - Every member is active and vigorous
 - The MLM group is becoming rich
- A state of system is overall state of the objects
 - The MLM group earned 100 million Rp last year
 - The MLM group earned 120 million Rp this year
- System behavior = sequence of states



Information System (viewed with GST)

- Objects in information system:
 - Data / Information about something
 - Algorithm / program that process the data
 - Persons / entity / operator that operate the program
 - Devices that interact with the system
- What is the state of the system ?
 - the value of data / information at certain time
 - operator's perception of the system state at certain time
- What drives the behavior of system ?
 - Algorithm implemented in program
 - Parameter (decision of operator, stored data, output from a device)



Outline

- 1 System Theory
- 2 Buzzword: Object Oriented**
- 3 System Behavior Analysis & Design
- 4 UML

Principles of Object Oriented I

Object Orientation

Abstraction

Encapsulation

Modularity

Hierarchy

Principles of Object Oriented II

- Abstraction:
 - identification of classes of objects in the system
 - e.g. SalesPerson, Customer, Product
- Encapsulation
 - Hide implementation and internal structure from clients
 - Clients depend on interface
 - Manages complexity of the system
- Modularity
 - The breaking up of something complex into manageable pieces
- Hierarchy
 - Levels of abstraction



Concepts in Object Oriented I

- Object:
 - An object is a concept, abstraction, or thing with sharp boundaries and meaning for an application
 - An object is something that has:
 - State
 - Behavior
 - Identity
- Class
 - A class is a description of a group of objects with common properties (attributes), behavior (operations), relationships, and semantics
 - An object is an instance of a class
 - A class is an abstraction in that it: Emphasizes relevant characteristics Suppresses other characteristics
- Attribute



Concepts in Object Oriented II

- Property of the object / class that has value
- State of an object is overall values of attributes of the object at certain time
- Operation / Methods
 - What drives the change the state of the object
 - Procedure / function / algorithm
- Interface (Polymorphism)
 - The ability to hide many different implementations behind a single interface
 - Interfaces formalize polymorphism
 - Interfaces support “plug-and-play” architectures
- Component
 - A non-trivial, nearly independent, and replaceable part of a system that fulfills a clear function in the context of a well-defined architecture

Concepts in Object Oriented III

- A component may be :
 - A source code component
 - A run time components
 - An executable component
- Package
 - A package is a general purpose mechanism for organizing elements into groups
 - A model element which can contain other model elements
- Subsystem
 - A combination of a package (can contain other model elements) and a class (has behavior)
 - Realizes one or more interfaces which define its behavior
- Relationships
 - Relationship is defined through services provided by objects

Concepts in Object Oriented IV

- Interfaces: public methods + passing parameter
- Types / structure of relationships:
 - Association
 - Aggregation
 - Composition
 - Dependency
 - Generalization
 - Realization



Outline

- 1 System Theory
- 2 Buzzword: Object Oriented
- 3 System Behavior Analysis & Design**
- 4 UML

System Behavior Analysis and Design

- Traditional Approach:
 - ① Analysis that elicits requirements
 - ② Logical DFD
 - ③ Physical DFD
 - ④ Program structure charts & process descriptions
 - ⑤ Data model (ERD) & physical database design
- Object Oriented Systems Development
 - ① Analysis that elicits requirements
 - ② Object relationship model with attributes and relationships
 - ③ Object relationship model with attributes, relationships, and methods
- Final joint of OOSD is the program / algorithm itself



Designing Object-Oriented System Behavior I

- 1 Analyze use cases to identify classes and behaviors
 - 1 Identify all classes (objects) in the system
 - 2 Identify behavior of objects (classes)
 - 3 Identify all relationships of the identified classes
- 2 Define methods and assign to object classes
 - 1 Define attributes
 - 2 Define automated behaviors (methods)
 - 1 Method used to create and break relationships
 - 2 Method that changes the state of an object
- 3 Extend the object relationship model to include methods, and create a class specification for each class in the model
 - 1 Define the business-name of the classes and all attributes / methods
 - 2 Define service interface - listing the public methods



Designing Object-Oriented System Behavior II

- 3 Define detailed methods (algorithm)
- 4 Reflect classes, methods, and messages in formal use cases
- 5 “Walk through” formal use cases to verify design

Criteria for Evaluating System Behavior Design

- Low Coupling
 - minimize interdependency of modules / classes
 - more loosely-coupled the objects → greater reusability
 - Guideliness:
 - No message should require more than three parameters
 - No messages should be sent from a subclass to access data defined in superclass (do this in inheritance)
 - Collaboration relationships should not be so strong that class *A* is useless without class *B*
- Cohesiveness
 - A cohesive design specifies only one function per-method
 - Function is clearly expressed as verb
- Clarity
 - High quality design speaks for itself
 - Minimize the “gap” of perception of the system between analyst, designer, programmer, implementer
- Simplicity



Outline

- 1 System Theory
- 2 Buzzword: Object Oriented
- 3 System Behavior Analysis & Design
- 4 UML**

Object Oriented Design with UML

Please see example from Russell C. Bjork

and

Those from Maciaszek



UML is not the best ?

- From definition:
 - Unified/Universal Modeling Language (UML) is a standardized **visual specification** language for object modeling
- Visual specification contains error caused by wrong interpretation
- UML aids the design in OO manner but not as strong as formal method
- Designing System using Formal Method requires in-depth knowledge and skill in mathematics



Example of Formal Method

MODULE *AsynchInterface*

EXTENDS *Naturals*

CONSTANT *Data*

VARIABLES *val, rdy, ack*

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{TypeInvariant} \triangleq & \wedge \textit{val} \in \textit{Data} \\ & \wedge \textit{rdy} \in \{0, 1\} \\ & \wedge \textit{ack} \in \{0, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Init} \triangleq & \wedge \textit{val} \in \textit{Data} \\ & \wedge \textit{rdy} \in \{0, 1\} \\ & \wedge \textit{ack} = \textit{rdy} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Send} \triangleq & \wedge \textit{rdy} = \textit{ack} \\ & \wedge \textit{val}' \in \textit{Data} \\ & \wedge \textit{rdy}' = 1 - \textit{rdy} \\ & \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \textit{ack} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Rcv} \triangleq & \wedge \textit{rdy} \neq \textit{ack} \\ & \wedge \textit{ack}' = 1 - \textit{ack} \\ & \wedge \text{UNCHANGED } \langle \textit{val}, \textit{rdy} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\textit{Next} \triangleq \textit{Send} \vee \textit{Rcv}$$

$$\textit{Spec} \triangleq \textit{Init} \wedge \square[\textit{Next}]_{\langle \textit{val}, \textit{rdy}, \textit{ack} \rangle}$$

THEOREM $\textit{Spec} \Rightarrow \square \textit{TypeInvariant}$



Why UML descends into darkness

- 1 Design by committee
 - not by community (lack of acceptance)
- 2 The obsessive focus on monetizing UML
 - Just draw the pictures and we generate the code for you
- 3 Tries to unify everything even the kitchen sink (UML specs > 800 pages)
- 4 UML attempts to become a programming language
- 5 The need for expensive tools vs. just a text editor
- 6 Lack of solutions for real software design issues
- 7 Assumes you can know everything before writing the first line of code
- 8 Treat software development like manufacturing
- 9 UML tools focus on the wrong goal
- 10 etc



Thanks

The END